

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

con

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,493	12/10/2004	Hirofumi Totsuka	032404-082	7124
21839 7590 09/04/2007 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAMINER	
			PERILLA, JASON M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/517,493	TOTSUKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason M. Perilla	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	IVIQ SET TO EVDIDE 4 I	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (20) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN.  136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become a	ICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 i	December 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 2004 is/	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[	objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		Analisation No.				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documer</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>						
application from the International Burea	•	in received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/517,493 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-12 are pending in the instant application.

2.

## Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §
- 121: I. Claims 1-10, drawn to data recovery using one clock generating circuits, classified in class 375, subclass 355 (figures 1, 4, 6, and 8).
  - Claims 11-12, drawn to data recovery using two clock generating circuits, classified in class 375, subclass 355 (figure 11).

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 4. Inventions I and II are directed to a related field of art. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are mutually exclusive and are not obvious variants of each other. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Art Unit: 2611

- A. Claims 1-4, wherein the variable delaying unit is "between the voltage control circuit and the phase comparator." (figure 4 and 10).
- B. Claims 5-7, wherein the variable delaying unit is "between the frequency divider and the phase comparator." (figure 6).
- C. Claims 8-10, wherein the variable delaying unit is "at a front stage of the data identifier." (figure 8).

The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive and are not obvious variants of each other.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. *Currently, claim 1 is generic*.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 2611

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species of a single invention. That is, if invention I is elected, one species of invention I (i.e. A, B, or C) must be elected. If invention II is elected, no selection of a species is required as invention II contains only one species.

7. A telephone call was made to James A. LaBarre on August 22, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverschould applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2611

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Perilla whose telephone number is (571) 272-3055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assignted on the organization where this application or proceeding is assignted on the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason M. Perilla August 24, 2007

jmp

CHIEH M. FAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER